Case 2:15-cr-00450-JMA-AKT Document 6 Filed 09/09/15 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 33



## U.S. Department of Justice E.A.

United States Attorney Eastern District of New York

CMM F. #2015R01489 610 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11722

September 8, 2015

## TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL AND EX PARTE

## BY HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Denis R. Hurley United States District Court Eastern District of New York 100 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11722

The Honorable Sandra J. Feuerstein United States District Court Eastern District of New York 100 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11722 FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
DISTRICT COURTED NY

★ SEP 0 9 2015

\*

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

Re: United States v. Harendra Singh Docket No. 15-CR-450 (DRH)

Dear Judge Hurley and Judge Feuerstein:

Pursuant to Local Rule 50.3.2, the government hereby notifies the Court that the above-captioned case ("Singh") is presumptively related to <u>United States v. Frederick Mei</u>, Docket No. 15 CR 429 (SJF) ("<u>Mei</u>").

Local Rule 50.3.2(b)(1) provides for a "presumption that one case is 'related' to another when the facts of each arise out of the same charged criminal scheme(s), transaction(s), or event(s), even if different defendants are involved in each case." Local Rule 50.3.2(c)(1) directs the United States Attorney's Office to "give notice to all relevant judges whenever it appears that one case may be presumptively related to another pursuant to Section (b)(1)."

This letter constitutes the notice directed by Local Rule 50.3.2(c)(1). This case is presumptively related to <u>Mei</u> because the facts of <u>Singh</u> arise out of the same criminal scheme as one charged in <u>Mei</u>. Specifically, the Count of the Information with which Mei

was charged alleges an honest services fraud conspiracy that is the same conspiracy charged in Count Three of the Indictment in <u>Singh</u>. As the case is thus presumptively related, the government respectfully submits that reassignment of <u>Singh</u> would be appropriate, as it would likely result in a significant savings of judicial resources and serve the interests of justice.

As both the indictment in <u>Singh</u> and the arrest warrant that was issued in connection with it are currently under seal and the defendant has not yet been arrested, the government respectfully submits this letter <u>ex parte</u> and under seal. <u>See United States v. Amodeo</u>, 44 F.3d 141, 147 (2d Cir. 1995) (need to protect integrity of ongoing investigation, including the safety of witnesses and law enforcement personnel, and to prevent interference, flight and other obstruction, may be compelling reason justifying sealing). The government will move to unseal this letter at the time of Singh's arraignment.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY T. CURRIE Acting United States Attorney

By: /s

Catherine M. Mirabile Raymond A. Tierney Lara Treinis Gatz Assistant U.S. Attorneys

Subject to the approval of Judge Femisken,
the captioned can is reasingned to her given
that remted States N. Their blandlike
lower industrient number.

So ordered

31 Denis R. Hurley